

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>		Application No. 10/624,322	Applicant(s) NOONAN, WILLIAM
		Examiner SANGWOO AHN	Art Unit 2168

**All Participants:**

(1) SANGWOO AHN.

(2) Peter Manzo.

**Date of Interview:** 29 September 2008

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 1PM

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
1,5,10,14,19

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative Mr. Peter Manzo authorized Examiner Ahn to amend the claims through Examiner's Amendment, for corrections of typological and grammatical errors, and to avoid possible 112 issues.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)